ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಇದೊಂದು ಬಹಳ ಉದ್ದವಾದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದಲೇ ಒಂದು ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಮೆಂಟನ್ನು ಟೇಬಲ್ ಮೇರೆ ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಕೆಲವು ಬ್ರಿಜ್ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಕೆಲವು ಕೆಲಸಗಳು progressನಲ್ಲಿವೆ. ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ ಸೇತುವೆ ಕಟ್ಟುವ ಕೆಲಸ ಆಗಿರುವದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಫಂಡಿನಲ್ಲ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಹಣವಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಒಂದು 'Phase programme'' ಎಂದು ಮಾಡಿದೆ. Plan and estimate ತಯಾರು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ದುಡ್ಡು ಬಂದಾಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri A. S. PATIL.—Regarding the Shirol-Holealur Road, are not plans and estimates for the construction of a bridge across the road submitted to the Government and is it pending sanction with them?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I will read out a note with regard to this.

"This road is taken under Central Road Allocation Fund and the plans and estimates for construction of the road as per M.D.R. standards exclusive of C.D. works are sanctioned for Rs. 3.5 lakhs. The formation of the entire length of road is completed and collection of rubble, metal, murum, etc., is under progress. Regarding construction of minor C.D. works, the plans and estimates are returned to the Executive Engineer for compliance of remarks and the same are under compliance. The work will be taken up as soon as the plans and estimates are approved by the Government."

Sri A. S. PATIL.—What about the construction of the bridge?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—It seems the Hon ble Member is referring to the submersible bridge across Benninala. We have received plans and estimates amounting to Rs. 4.05 lakhs and it is under consideration. We are going to take a decision soon. But this is not included in the current year's Budget.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಕೆ. ಕಂಬಿಳಿ.—ಈ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಎಷ್ಟು ಬೇಕು?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ನಾನು ಅದನ್ನೇ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾ ಇದೆ. Plan and estimate ತಯಾರಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೇವೆ. ದುಡ್ಡು ಬಂದಾಗೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Sir, you just now explained that you would take up these works as per a phased programme. But when are you implementing this phased programme?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—That programme is with reference to only State Fund Roads—D.L.B. roads taken up as State Fund Roads. The works are in progress.

Policy adopted for granting extension of service to Gazetted Officers.

*Q.—959. Sri B. NANJAPPA (Cubbonpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether they have adopted any settled policy in granting extension of service to Gazetted Officers?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

The general rule is Rule 95 of the Mysore Civil Services Rules an extract of which is appended below. Subject to the provisions of this rule extension or re-employment is considered only in cases where in the exigencies of administration an officer's services, on account of his technical knowledge or specialised nature of work are required for a longer period.

EXTRACT OF RULE 95 FROM MYSORE CIVIL SERVICES RULES, 1958. CHAPTER IX.—COMPULSORY RETIREMENT.

95 (*a*) Subject to the provisions relating to protection of conditions of service prescribed by the Government of India in respect of persons allotted or deemed to be allotted to serve in connection with the affairs of the State of Mysore under Section 115 of the States Reorganisation Act, 1956, the date of compulsory retirement of a Government servant, is the date on which he attains the age of 55 years. He may be retained in service after the date of compulsory retirement, with the sanction of Government and if the Government servant concerned is physically

fit, on public grounds which must be recorded in writing, but he must not be retained after the age of 60 years except in very special circumstances.

(*b*) Notwithstanding anything contained in these rules, a Government servant under suspension on a charge of misconduct shall not be required or permitted to retire on reaching the date of compulsory retirement, but shall be retained in service till the enquiry into the charge is concluded and a final order is passed thereon by a competent authority. The period of such retention in service after such date shall not count for pension.

Note 1—When a Government servant is required to retire or cease to be on leave, on attaining a specified age the day on which he attains that age is reckoned as a non-working day, and the Government servant must retire, or cease to be on leave, (as the case may be) with effect from and including that day.

Note 2—The grant under Rule 110, of of leave extending beyond the date on which a Government servant must compulsory retire, or beyond the date up to which the Government servant has been permitted to remain in service, shall be treated as sanctioning an extension of service up to date on which the leave expires.

(*c*) Heads of Departments are authorised to retire all non gazetted Government servants under them when they attain the age of 55.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ನಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಟೆನ್‌ಷನ್ಸ್ ಯಾವುದೂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲವೇ, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್ ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಯಾವುದೂ ನನ್ನ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—When you extend the services of an officer, do you not take into consideration whether he is qualified, whether he has any specialised experience, etc. ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—All relevant things will be taken into consideratino.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—You were pleased to tell us that there were no such extensions. Is it not a fact that the Commissioner of Hubli and Dharwar Corporation was reemployed or given an extension ? May I know the special reasons.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question relates to extension.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I konw the special reasons for extending the services of this Officer ? He was about to be retired. You brought such a man and appointed him as Commissioner for Hubli and Dharwar Corporation.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The special reasons are also mentioned.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—In this particular case, what are the special reasons for extending the period of his service for one year ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Wherever such appointments are made, it is on account of technical knowledge and the special nature of the work.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—He is an I. A. S. Officer. What is his technical knowledge to get an extension at the hands of the Government ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is also due to the special nature of work. His experience in that department also counts.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—May I know from Government whether extensions—on the bass of this technical knowledge or specalised nature of the work are restricted to the Technical Departments or even to the General Administration Department ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is not necessarily restricted to technical departments.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Would the Government be pleased to state in how many instances extensions have been granted during the last two years ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is too wide a question to answer now.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Are Government aware that extension or reemployment has been given to officers twice or even more than that ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—May be, Sir. Usually, it is restricted to one year. If at the end of one year, it is found that the gentleman's services are necessary, then it is extended.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—This kind of giving extension twice or thrice—will it not come in the way of more deserving, young and efficient officers ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is a matter of opinion.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—So far as this office is concerned, did not Government find any other officer in Government service who was suitable ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—That question has been answered.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—An officer was transferred from that Corporation and the present incumbent was brought in his place. May I know whether the officer who was holding that office was not competent to discharge his duties efficiently and properly ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—An officer with experience and knowledge that was subsequently employed was found necessary. Therefore, the arrangement was made.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—In all cases where Government finds there is need, is it not desirable to re-employ rather than give extension ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is a matter of opinion.

Suggestions from the Ministry of Transport and Communications regarding Policy and Programme and implementing Nationalisation of Passenger Transport Services.

*Q.—296. Sri SANJEEVANATHA IKALA (Surathkal).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they have received any suggestions from the Ministry of Transport and Communications, Government of India, regarding policy and programme and implementation of nationalisation of Passenger Transport Services ;

(*b*) if so, what are those suggestions ;

(*c*) how far these suggestions have been implemented ;

(*d*) if not fully implemented, what are the difficulties in the way of their implementation ?

*A.—Sri D. DEVARAJ URS (Minister for Labour, Housing and Transport).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) In view of reply to clause (*a*) above, does not arise.

(*c*) Does not arise.

(*d*) Does not arise.

Sri SANJEEVANATHA IKALA.—May I know whether suggestions have come from the Ministry of Transport and Communications in this behalf, because in the Consultative Committee of the Central Transport Ministry it was clearly stated that suggestions had been sent to the State Government to have a phased programme of nationalisation ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—What is the source of information ?

Sri SANJEEVANATHA IKALA.—It was stated in newspapers dated 7th May that suggestions had been sent to the State Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Hon'ble Members should not depend upon newspaper reports alone. If they have been sent, how do they know that they have reached the Government ?

Sri SANJEEVANTHA IKALA.—It is now two months since they have been sent. So, it must have reached the State Government.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—I have nothing else to say except the answer that is given.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Have the Government taken a policy decision in respect of the phased programme of nationalisation ?

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Excepting what is contained in the Third Plan, there is no other directive or letter from the Government. It is left to the Corporation according to the State Road Transport Corporation Act of 1950 to take up these things in a phased way.